

After the NY Close - 07/14/2026

TL;DR — Soft June CPI (3.5% vs 3.8% exp) plus a chip rebound powered a risk-on RTH; MNQ opened 29,811, flushed to the 29,567.50 A-EQ/onEQ confluence in the first 45 min, then round-tripped to the 29,895.75 poor pwHigh-area high before settling 29,786.75, basically flat but with clean two-way excess both ends. A softer-than-expected CPI report showed inflation rose 3.5% in June, below expectations of 3.8%, taking pressure off the Fed and easing rate-hike bets. The bulls edged it — dip bought at value, close back above pdHigh/pdOpen. Both the pre-session S1 short and L1 long **worked**; S2/L2 were unreachable and would have been dead capital.

Important Events this Week

Date (ET)	Event	Why it matters
2026-07-14 16:00	June CPI + big-bank earnings (done)	Traders sharply pared tightening bets, seeing ~15% odds of a hike, down from 35%. Catalyst for today's tape
2026-07-15 (Wed)	PPI; ASML earnings; Morgan Stanley/BlackRock/BNY/PNC	PPI adds the producer side; if producer costs keep rising, watch whether it later shows up in consumer prices. ASML = AI-capex read
2026-07-16 (Thu)	TSMC earnings; jobless claims	TSMC gives another read on semiconductor equipment demand and AI-related chip demand. Drives the chip tape
2026-07-17 (Fri)	Regular full session	No scheduled top-tier US macro verified; earnings-driven
2026-07-28–29	FOMC meeting	The next major policy waypoint; this week's CPI and bank data heavily influence Warsh's communication.

What happened & why. This was a news-first, second-derivative day and the framework fit cleanly. The first derivative — the CPI print itself — was known at 08:30 ET pre-cash; the RTH job was trading the *repositioning* around it. CPI rose 3.5% year-over-year vs a 3.8% forecast, and on a monthly basis fell 0.4% against expectations for a 0.1% decline; following the report traders sharply pared expectations for near-term tightening, seeing about a 15% chance of a hike versus 35% before. That's the whole engine of the session.

Leadership was textbook rate-sensitive risk-on: the S&P closed higher boosted by semiconductor stocks after the weaker June inflation print, and the VanEck Semiconductor ETF traded 2.5% higher as chips rebounded from the prior session's sell-off. The offset — and why the Dow lagged while the Nasdaq led — was a single-name shock: IBM fell about 25% after warning Q2 profit would be lower on soft software and infrastructure demand. Ironically the reason was itself bullish for chips: IBM said enterprise clients are shifting spend away from software and mainframes toward AI infrastructure, and memory makers like SK Hynix and Micron jumped. Banks were a wash despite good numbers — JPMorgan, Bank of America and Wells Fargo kicked off earnings with solid but mixed, unspectacular results, sending the big banks largely sideways — with Goldman

the standout on record equities-trading revenue. Net: chip-led, rate-relief tape; software/Dow the laggards; nothing macro strong enough to trend it.

The path, in order. Price opened 29,811, immediately above pdHigh 29,752.50, and in the first candle **broke pdHigh** to the downside — the open sold the news-pop into a liquidity grab. The 10:00 candle did the damage: a fast flush that **whipsawed onVAH 29,674.50** at 10:05 and drove straight into the **10:15 session low 29,567.50** — right on the RTH VWAP 29,572.75 and stacking the A-EQ 29,627.50 / onEQ 29,612.50 / pmEQ 29,598.00 / onPOC 29,589.50 support cluster. Every one of those **held** on the first test; that A-EQ bracket doing its job is consistent with its 100%-respect base rate, small sample notwithstanding. That low was the S1 short's target and the L1 long's entry — the single best fade-the-flush / buy-value spot of the day, and it printed a clean single-print excess tail (true rejection, not acceptance). From there VWAP flipped to support and the tape never looked back into the flush: price climbed through 11:00 into the **11:30 session high 29,895.75**, tagging the ndVAL 29,866.25 resistance and probing toward the poor pwHigh 30,094.00 magnet before rejecting with another clean excess tail. The afternoon was a quiet grind — a slow drift off the high into a **15:55 close at 29,786.75**, back above pdOpen 29,710.00 and pdHigh, closing near RTHPOC 29,817.75 and inside the day's value.

Note the day *didn't* fit the balance/mean-revert template you'd default to on a rotational read: the overnight span was ~84th percentile (outsized), and the RTH honored that by *expanding* both directions off a wide IB rather than chopping a tight range. The tell for the up-leg was the flush holding value at 10:15 — once A-EQ/onPOC held, the first-break-lower failed and reversed, which is exactly the "close back inside / reclaim" signal that flips a failed breakdown into a trend leg.

Grading the map. Level reactions were clean and instructive. **pdOpen and pdHigh both broke and closed above** — they were front-run at the open and became support once reclaimed, exactly how you'd treat pdOpen/pdHigh as strong S/R. **ndVAL 29,866.25 held** as the ceiling on the way up (rejected, closed below), a good naked-daily short-side pivot. The whole lower-value stack — **A-EQ, pmEQ, pdEQ, onEQ, onPOC** — **all held on single tests**, and that's where the day turned. Untested and irrelevant today: everything below pdVAL 29,456 and the deep pwLow/ndLow 29,034 magnets (never in reach), and everything above pwHigh 30,094 (probed, not reached).

Plan grade, plainly: S1 short worked — filled up near the highs/ndVAL and rode the flush into the low (peak ~+182, ~125% to target). **L1 long worked** — filled at the 29,567.50 excess low and rode the reversal back to value (peak ~+181, ~101% to target). **S2 short was a bad idea** — never traded, missed by ~526; it sat far above at the pwHigh/pmClose zone that price never sniffed. **L2 long was also a bad idea today** — never traded, missed by ~440; it was parked down at the deep-crash reload shelf, and this was no crash. Lesson: on a clean-catalyst two-way day the *near, in-value* entries (S1 at overhead supply, L1 at the value floor) are the trades; the stretched second slots only pay on a genuine trend/crash extension, which today's tape never offered.

Who won. The bulls had the better day, but modestly — they defended the entire lower-value shelf on the first test, reversed a fast morning flush, and dragged price back above pdHigh/pdOpen to close inside value with a POC-high, lower-tail (short-covering) profile. But it was a *round-trip*, not a breakout: the close (29,786.75) finished essentially at prior close (29,786.75) with clean excess on both extremes — two-sided balance skinned to the upside, not a trend win.

Single biggest takeaway: The session was won and lost in one place — the 10:15 flush into the 29,567.50 A-EQ/onEQ/onPOC/VWAP confluence. It held on the first touch, and that single hold is what turned a news-pop

liquidity grab into a full recovery; read the value floor, not the headline, and the day told you everything.





Charts: [MNQ](#) · [ES](#) · [DXY](#) · [US10Y](#) · [VIX](#) · [USDJPY](#) · [USDKRW](#)

Market-structure base rates — how the tape has *behaved*. **Priors, not edges** (small sample, one regime).
38 RTH sessions · 2026-05-06 → 2026-07-14

Ranked priors (live)

#	Prior	Rate	n	info
1	Overnight breaches prior-day RTH range	87%	38	2.27
2	Gap day holds the opposite prior-range boundary	85%	33	2.00
3	After first IB edge breaks, the other also breaks	19%	36	1.83
4	First pullback after an IB break doesn't reverse it	67%	36	1.00

Calibration baseline (frozen 1m anchor)

Base rate	Value	n
Gap containment	81%	16
Overnight breaches pd range	78%	18
IB double-break (2nd edge)	24%	17
First-pullback follow-through	41%	17

Gap fill by size

Gap	Fills prior close	Opp. boundary held	n
0.10-0.30%	100%	83%	6
0.30-0.60%	60%	80%	5
0.60-1.00%	50%	75%	12
1.00%	10%	100%	10

Strongest correlations

Input	Outcome	r
ib_range	rth_range	0.54
gap_boundary_held	gap_filled	-0.44
on_below_pd	rth_range	0.38
on_range	gap_filled	-0.37
on_below_pd	rth_dir_morning	-0.35
on_below_pd	gap_filled	-0.34

B · Overnight vs pd range

outside either	87%
above pdHigh	53%
below pdLow	42%
both extremes	8%

C · ETH trend → RTH (morning)

ETH↑ continue	53%
ETH↑ reverse	41%
ETH↓ continue	43%
ETH↓ reverse	29%

D · IB break sequence

IBH breaks first	61%
IBL breaks first	34%
IBH→IBL both	17%
IBL→IBH both	23%

E · Last 30m vs VWAP

diverges	63%
converges	32%
crosses	5%

F · Range distribution (pts)

overnight p50	403
overnight p90	655
RTH p50	458
RTH p90	918

G · Gap behaviour

gap days	33
fills pdClose	48%
gap↑ pdLow held	84%
gap↓ pdHigh held	86%

H · First pullback · 30m (5m pending: only 5 sess)

follow-through	67%
failed (reclaim)	33%

ib_range → **rth_range** **r=+0.60** — a WIDE first-hour opening range (IB) predicts a WIDE, trending RTH; a TIGHT IB predicts a contained, rotational day — size the day’s expected range off the 09:30–10:30 IB, not yesterday’s range

The coarse live feed OVER-READS first-pullback (~60% vs 41% on 1m) — trust the 1m anchor.

Variables — what each one measures

Variable table omitted in this public sample report.

– Auto-generated. Levels for MNQ (feed: NQ=F).

This report is a personal note that Raoul Marc Schmidiger writes to himself, and nothing in it is financial, investment, or trading advice. Its author is a private trader, not a registered investment adviser, broker-dealer, or commodity trading advisor, and none of this is an offer, recommendation, or solicitation to buy or sell anything; any specific prices, levels, or blunt-sounding calls are simply how he talks to himself while thinking out loud. The text is generated automatically by AI software and may be incomplete, mistaken, or plainly wrong, and any performance figures or “grades” shown are hypothetical and after-the-fact – past or hypothetical results are not a promise of anything future. If a copy of this has reached you, you hold it purely incidentally and are invited to treat every word as fictional and for entertainment only. Should you nonetheless act on it, you do so entirely at your own risk and on your own judgment, and Raoul Marc Schmidiger accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from its use. Markets are risky, futures and crypto especially so, and you alone are responsible for your own decisions.

© 2026 Raoul Marc Schmidiger · raoulsson.com